Chapter 5 Structures of Polyatomic Molecules (I) Introduction

Molecular Orbital Theory vs. Valence Bond Theory

- VB theory: focusing on the (*localized*) bonds formed between valence electrons/atomic orbitals of neighboring atom(s), easier to visualize/imagine VB model of a molecule, i.e., "of chemical intuition!". e.g., CH₄
- MO theory: more powerful and more sophisticated than VB theory in many aspects, e.g., description of electron delocalization in Benzene, but sometimes not so easy to visualize/imagine a MO model e.g., for CH₄!

Comparison of MO and VB theories

VB Theory

- The electrons pair to *localize* in a bond. $\psi^{bond} \approx AO_1 \cdot AO_2$
- Demands hybridization of AO
- Basis of Lewis structures, resonance, and hybridization.
- Good theory for predicting molecular structure.
- Easier to visualize/imagine VB model for a molecule, i.e., "of chemical intuition!".

Molecular orbital theory

- MOs are formed by the overlap of AOs. $\Psi^{MO} \approx \Sigma c_i AO_i$
- Electrons are "*delocalized"* within MOs consisting of AOs.
- Electrons fill up the MOs according to the *aufbau* principle.
- Give accurate bond dissociation energies, IP, EA, and spectral data.
- Sometimes *not so easy to visualize/imagine* a MO model for a molecule!

Electron Delocalization in Benzene



 $\bigcup_{a \xrightarrow{d}} \longleftrightarrow_{b} \longleftrightarrow_{c} \longleftrightarrow_{d} \longleftrightarrow_{e}$

VB description: have to introduce resonance of *localized* VB structures

MO description: inherently describing electron delocalization!

Molecular Orbital (MO) Theory Treatment of Polyatomic Molecules

• LCAO-MO & group Theory (in part B of *Chapter 3*)



Canonical molecular orbitals of CH₄ (valence electrons only!)



How to get the CMOs of a molecule?

• Group theory treatment–SALCs: CH_4, T_d







How can the MO model become *chemically intuitive* as the VB model does?

http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/~gablek/CH334/Chapter1/methane MOs.htm *

Now consider the linear combinations of the four CMOs,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1} &= (\psi_{s} + \psi_{x} + \psi_{y} + \psi_{z})/2 & \Psi_{2} &= (\psi_{s} + \psi_{x} - \psi_{y} - \psi_{z})/2 \\
\Psi_{3} &= (\psi_{s} - \psi_{x} - \psi_{y} + \psi_{z})/2 & \Psi_{4} &= (\psi_{s} - \psi_{x} + \psi_{y} - \psi_{z})/2 & \text{Let } c_{b} &= c_{d} \\
&\& c_{a} &= c_{c} \\
\Psi_{1} &= [(c_{b}C2s + c_{d}(C2p_{x} + C2p_{y} + C2p_{z}) + (c_{a} + 3c_{c})\phi_{1} + (c_{a} - c_{c})(\phi_{2} + \phi_{3} + \phi_{4})]/2 & & & \\
\Psi_{1} &= c_{b}(C2s + C2p_{x} + C2p_{y} + C2p_{z})/2 + c_{a}'\phi_{1} \\
\Psi_{2} &= c_{b}(C2s - C2p_{x} - C2p_{y} + C2p_{z})/2 + c_{a}'\phi_{2} \\
\Psi_{3} &= c_{b}(C2s - C2p_{x} + C2p_{y} - C2p_{z})/2 + c_{a}'\phi_{3} \\
\Psi_{4} &= c_{b}(C2s + C2p_{x} - C2p_{y} - C2p_{z})/2 + c_{a}'\phi_{4}
\end{aligned}$$
Localized molecular orbitals (LMOs) describing C-H bonds

4 sp3-hybridized orbitals on C

The 4LMOs are in effect similar to those 4 covalent C-H bonds described in VB theory.





Are the four LMOs of CH₄ equal in energy? If so, please prove that after class!

Q1: Note that hybrid orbitals are used in both the VB and LMO descriptions of a covalent bond (e.g., in CH_4). What is the difference in the two models?

Q2: How to construct hybridized atomic orbitals for further construction of LMOs of a molecule ?



Content of this chapter

1. Hybrid Orbital Theory

<u>2. VSEPR Model</u> (after-class)

<u>3. Delocalized \pi-conjugation</u> and Delocalized MO Theory

<u>4. Hückel MO Theory and</u> <u>**Conjugated Systems**</u>

5. Symmetry Rules for Molecular Reactions

- σ-bonds/σ-framework
 of a molecule (Qualitative, MO&VB theory)
 - π-bonds of a molecule(VB & MO theories,Qualitative)

(Semi-Quantitative/ Semiempirical MO)

Molecular reactions (Qualitative)

§ 5.1 Hybrid Orbital Theory and Atomic Orbital Hybridization

- 1. Hybrid orbital theory first proposed by Pauling in 1928.
- Hybridization was introduced to explain molecular geometry when valence bond theory failed to correctly predict them.

```
VALENCE BOND THEORY
```

- Valence electrons are localized between two atoms (or as lone pairs).
- Half-filled atomic orbitals overlap to form bonds.
- VB theory demands *orbital hybridization* if necessary!

MO THEORY

Sometimes Atomic orbital hybridization is naturally taken into account!

Please refer to Webtext:

http://www.chem1.com/acad/webtext/chembond/cb06.html

http://www.chem1.com/acad/webtext/chembond/cb07.html

Linus Pauling (1901-1994) was the most famous American chemist of the 20th century and the author of the classic book *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*. He won the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1954 and the Nobel Peace Prize in 1962.





a. Central Themes of Valence Bond Theory

Basic Principle of Valence Bond Theory: a covalent bond forms when the orbitals from two atoms overlap and a pair of electrons occupies the region between the nuclei.

1) Opposing spins of the electron pair.

- 2) Maximum overlap of bonding orbitals.
- 3) Hybridization of atomic orbitals, if required.

Pauling proposed that *the valence atomic orbitals in a molecule are different from those in the isolated atoms*. We call this *Hybridization*!

b. Why do atomic orbitals need hybridization? e.g., $\rm H_2O$



- O $2s^22p^4$ Suppose each σ bond arises from the overlap of an H_{1s} AO with one of the O_{2p} AO.
 - This model suggests that the H-O-H bond angle should be 90°, much smaller than the observed one (104.5 °).

9

Use of OEven worse, such a bonding motif would poseUse of Overy strong repulsion among the occupied 2sp - orbitals(O) AO and the two O-H bonds!



 H_2O

Inequivalent sp³ hybridization of O(2sp) AO's!

12

c. Why do atomic orbitals need hybridization?



- Carbon has four valence
 electrons that are typically
 involved in the formation of 4
 C-H bonds.
- For a carbon atom bonded to four other atoms, evidences suggested that all of the bonds have similar bonding orbitals.

9

Hybrid Orbital Theory is phenomenological!

d. How do atomic orbitals hybridize?

 Hybridization of *n* AO's of an atom gives rise to *n* hybrid orbitals (HO)!

$$\{\phi_i^{AO}\}(i=1,...,n) \rightarrow \{\phi_j^{HO} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ji}\phi_i^{AO}\} (j=1,...,n)$$

e.g., a sp³-hybridized orbital can be expressed as:

$$\phi_h = c_1 \phi_{2s} + c_2 \phi_{2px} + c_3 \phi_{2py} + c_4 \phi_{2pz}$$

 For equivalent hybridization, all *n* hybrid orbitals are equivalent in energy, but differ in directionality. The contribution of *s* orbital, if involved, to each HO is equal.

- 2. Construction of hybrid orbitals
 - a. sp hybridization
 - b. sp² hybridization
- c. sp³ hybridization
- dsp³ (sp³d) hybridization (trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal)
- e. dsp² (sp²d) hybridization (square planar)
- f. d²sp³ (sp³d²)hybridization (octahedral)
- g. More ... (e.g., sp^3d^3f , or sp^3d^3)

Bonding ability of AO: $F = \sqrt{2l+1}$ $F_s = 1, F_p = \sqrt{3}, F_d = \sqrt{5}...$

a. sp hybridization (linear species)

• One *s* and one *p* AO's mix to form a set of two hybrid orbitals.



• Accordingly, equivalent sp-hybridization results in two hybrids:

$$\phi_{h1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{2s} + \phi_{2px}) \qquad \phi_{h2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{2s} - \phi_{2px}) \qquad s-character$$

i) Each having 50% contribution from *s* orbital: $\overset{\checkmark}{\alpha} = |c_{2s}|^2 = 1/2$.

9

ii) Normalization and orthogonality: $\int \phi_{hj} \phi_{hi} d\tau = \delta_{ij}$

iii) Linearly aligned with a bond angle $\theta = 180^{\circ}$.

Equivalent /inequivalent sp-hybridization

Whether an atom adopts equivalent or inequivalent hybridization depends on its chemical environment!

sp-hybridization at Be atom

Cl-Be-Cl equivalent

Cl-Be-Br inequivalent

- b. sp² hybridization (trigonal planar)
- One s and two p (p_x and p_y) AOs mix to form a set of three hybrid orbitals.



In general, an sp² hybrid orbital can be expressed as,

$$\phi_{hi} = a_i \phi_s + b_i \phi_{2px} + c_i \phi_{2py}$$

For equivalent hybridization: the weighting of *s* orbital in each hybrid orbital is $\alpha = a_i^2 = 1/3$, and therefore $a_i = 3^{-1/2}$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{hi} = (1/\sqrt{3})\phi_s + b_i\phi_{2px} + c_i\phi_{2py}$$

Supposing ϕ_{h1} is parallel to the *x*-axis, but perpendicular to the *y*-axis, then we have

$$\phi_{h1} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s + b_i\phi_{2px}$$
Normalization
$$\phi_{h1} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s + \sqrt{2/3}\phi_{2px}$$

.

$$\phi_{h1} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s + \sqrt{2/3}\phi_{2px}$$

$$\phi_{hi} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s + b_i\phi_{2px} + c_i\phi_{2py} \quad (i = 2 \text{ or } 3)$$
Normalization and orthogonality
$$a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 + c_1c_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1/3 + \sqrt{2/3}b_2 + 0 \cdot c_2 = 0$$

$$a_2^2 + b_2^2 + c_2^2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1/3 + b_2^2 + c_2^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad b_2 = -\sqrt{1/6} \quad c_2 = \pm\sqrt{1/2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\phi_{h2} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s - \sqrt{1/6}\phi_{2px} + \sqrt{1/2}\phi_{2py}$$

$$\phi_{h3} = \sqrt{1/3}\phi_s - \sqrt{1/6}\phi_{2px} - \sqrt{1/2}\phi_{2py}$$

- c. sp³ hybridization (tetrahedral)
- One **s** and 3 **p** AO's mix to form a set of four hybrid sp³ orbitals.
- For equivalent sp³ hybridization ($\alpha = 1/4$), e.g, CH₄





The bond lengths will not be the same because there is more *d* contribution to the axial hybrid orbitals.

- For dsp³, the axial bonds are shorter.
- For sp³d, the axial bonds are longer, e.g., in PF₅.
 (But mostly exaggerating the contribution of d orbitals!)

However, in MOT, the 3d orbitals of P is not involved in the P-X bonds in PX₅(X = CI, F).

An alternative model to describe the axial bonding in such bipyramidal molecules as PX₅:

Key points:

- The equatorial P-F bonds are normal σ -bond.
- The axial F-P-F bond: a 3-center 4-electron bond.





f. d²sp³ (sp³d²) hybridization (Octahedral)



Equival \bullet

 $oc_{5} =$

$$\frac{d_{z^{2}}, d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}, s, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}}{c_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_{x} + \frac{1}{2}d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_{y} - \frac{1}{2}d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}p_{x} + \frac{1}{2}d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}p_{x} + \frac{1}{2}d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}p_{y} - \frac{1}{2}d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{4}^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}p_{z} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}d_{z^{2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}s - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}p_{z} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}d_{z^{2}}}{c_{5}^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}d_{z^{2}}}}$$

3

Hybridization schemes

spⁿd^m gives a "complete" set of hybrid orbitals for "any" geometry.

sp	linear
sp ²	trigonal planar
sp ³	tetrahedral
$sp^{3}d(d_{z}^{2})$	trigonal bipyramidal
$sp^{3}d(d_{x}^{2}-y^{2})$	square pyramidal
sp ² d ²	square pyramidal
sp ³ d ²	octahedral
sp²d	square planar



3. The angle between two hybrid orbitals



where ϕ_{pi} is

$$\phi_{p_i} = x_i \phi_{px} + y_i \phi_{py} + z_i \phi_{pz}$$

Note the angle between the two vectors ϕ_{pi} and ϕ_{pj} is the angle θ_{ij} between the two hybrid orbitals ϕ_{hi} and ϕ_{hi} , i.e.,

$$\cos\theta_{ij} = \int \phi_{pi} \phi_{pj} d\tau$$

Normalization and orthogonality

$$0 = \int \phi_{hi} \phi_{hj} d\tau = \int (\sqrt{\alpha_i} \phi_s + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_i} \phi_{pi}) (\sqrt{\alpha_j} \phi_s + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_j} \phi_{pj}) d\tau$$

$$= \sqrt{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \int \phi_s^2 d\tau + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \alpha_j)} \int \phi_{pi} \phi_{pj} d\tau + 0 + 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \sqrt{\alpha_i \alpha_j} + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \alpha_j)} \cos \theta_{ij}$$

$$\implies \cos \theta_{ij} = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i \alpha_j}}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \alpha_j)}} < 0 \Rightarrow \theta_{ij} > 90^\circ$$

$$\alpha_i = \alpha_j$$
Equivalent hybridization. e.g., CH₄

$$\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$$
Non-equivalent hybridization. e.g., CHCl₃, CH₃Cl
sp: $\alpha = 0.5 \Rightarrow \cos \theta = -1 \Rightarrow \theta = 180.0^\circ$
sp²: $\alpha = 1/3 \Rightarrow \cos \theta = -0.5 \Rightarrow \theta = 120.0^\circ$

Equivalent hybridization





For d-s-p hybridization, the angles between two hybrid orbitals can be calculated by: (where α , β and γ are the component of s, p and d orbitals)

$$\alpha + \beta \cos \theta + \gamma (\frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$$

$$d^2 \text{sp}^3: \alpha = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta + \frac{1}{3} (\frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \cos \theta_1 = 0, \quad \cos \theta_2 = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1 = 90^\circ, \quad \theta_2 = 180^\circ$$

í

4. The bonding ability of hybrid orbitals $F_{AO} = \sqrt{2l+1}$ Atomic orbital bonding ability : *s*, p, d, f : 1, $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{5}$, $\sqrt{7}$

The bonding ability of a hybrid orbital is given by : $f_{h} = \sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{3\beta} + \sqrt{5\gamma}$ for the spⁿ hybridizations, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ $f_{h} = 1.932$ sp

 α =

 α :

 α

 $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \frac{3}{4}$

$$=\frac{1}{3}, \beta = \frac{2}{3}$$
 $f_h = 1.992$ sp

Hybridization enhances the bonding ability of atomic valence orbitals!!

$$f_h = 2.00$$
 sp³

$$= 0, f_h = \sqrt{3} p$$
$$= 1, f_h = 1 s$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{6}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $f_h = 2.925$ $d^2 sp^3$

3

5. Discussions

sp² e.g., $BF_3(D_{3h})$, $BH_3(D_{3h})$, NO_3^- , $CO_3^2^-$

Example: BH₃

- The B atom has 3 sp² hybrid orbitals each with one electron.
- This one electron pairs with the hydrogen 1s electron.
- The 2p_z AO of B atom is thus empty.




The sp²-hybridization and chemical bonding in C_2H_4 .

Example: nitrate (NO_3^-) --hybridization and delocalization



dot-electron structure Resonance of three equivalent dot-electron structures (or VB structures)–delocalization of π -electrons

9

VB model:

i) N~sp² hybridization.

ii) Three N-O σ -bonds (One N \rightarrow O type!) plus a N-O π -bond. *Thus N is hexavalent*!

iii) Resonance of the three VB structures thus gives a delocalized 4-center 6-electron π -bond (Π_4^6).

(Valence) Isoelectronic: SO₃



ii) Three S-O σ -bonds (two of them are S \rightarrow O type!) plus a S-O π -bond. *Thus S is hexavalent*!

iii) Resonance of the three VB structures thus gives a delocalized 4-center 6-electron π -bond (Π_4^6).

MO model:

- i) VE ~ 24e; S~sp² hybridization;
- ii) Three S-O σ -bonds(6e); 6 lone pairs on the three O atoms(12e);
- iii) The rest 6e (= 24e-6e-12e) should be accommodated in the delocalized 4-center π -bond, i.e., a Π_4^{6} .

Example: Benzene -- hybridization and delocalization



The sp² hybrid orbitals of C atoms and the 1s AO's of H atoms form the σ -framework of benzene.





6. "Bent bond" model -- An alternative of hybrids (obsolete!)

"Bent bond" model of ethylene



- No need to assume hybridization in the C atoms at all.
- Directions of the p-orbitals in C atoms should be distorted sufficiently to provide the overlap for bonding. These bent bonds are called "banana bonds".

6. "Bent-bond" Model -- an alternative of hybrids



Note the C–C bond angles are 60° — quite a departure from the tetrahedral angle of 109.5° associated with sp^3 hybridization!

sp³ hybridization of C



Three "banana bonds" formed!

However, in terms of MO theory, Dewar proposed that this molecule has σ-aromaticity! *Dewar, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106 (3): 669;* Wu, W. *Chem. Eur. J. 2009,* **15** (38): 9730.

You should understand that

- *Hybridization is not a physical phenomenon*; it is merely a *mathematical operation* that combines the atomic orbitals we are familiar with in such a way that the new (hybrid) orbitals possess the geometric and other properties that are reasonably consistent with what we observe in a wide range (but certainly not in all) molecules.
- Hybrid orbitals are abstractions that describe reality fairly well in certain classes of molecules (and fortunately, in much of the very large class of organic substances) and are therefore a useful means of organizing a large body of chemical knowledge... but they are far from infallible.

Concept Map (I)



Concept Map II



After-class Discussion:

Real or Imagination:

- Chemical Bond
- Orbital
- Atomic Orbital
- Molecular Orbital
- Hybridized Orbital

The Nature of the Chemical Bond—1990

There Are No Such Things as Orbitals!

J. F. Ogilvie

J Chem Edu. 1990, 67, 280

The Nature of the Chemical Bond —1992

J Chem Edu. 1992, 69, 519

9

6

Linus Pauling

Linus Pauling Institute of Science and Medicine, 440 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94306

Editor's Note

In this issue we bring to closure the current discussion of the nature of the chemical bond. Readers will recall the paper by Ogilvie [J. Chem. Educ. **1990**, 67, 28&289] giving one modern point of view of the chemical bond. In this issue, we publish another modern point of view by Pauling together with three letters (page 600) that the Ogilvie paper engendered. These letters have been selected from a larger number (which we are unable to print) and are representative of the kinds of responses that the paper generated. Since both Pauling and Ogilvie use the photoelectron spectra of methane to provide evidence for their (divergent) views, we also publish in this issue (page 522) a paper by Simons on photoelectric spectroscopy of methane.





An alternative view on the chemical bonding within hypervalent compounds 3-center 4-electron bond

[VB (Coulson model) vs. MO (Rundle–Pimentel model)]

Example 1. XeF₂

a) Classic view – Pauling's model (involving d-orbital). sp³d hybridization,

3 lone pairs + 2 axial Xe-F bonds



sp² hybridization \rightarrow 3 lone pairs (equatorial) Axial 3c4e bond: Xe 5p_z (2e) + 2F 2p_z (2 x 1e) VB: F-Xe⁺ F⁻ $\leftarrow F^-$ Xe⁺-F \therefore Xe-F bond order =1/2 MO: $\bigvee_{z} = A(\phi_{F1} + 2\phi_{Xe} + \phi_{F2})$ (anti-bonding) $\bigvee_{z} = A(\phi_{F1} - \phi_{F2})$ (non-bonding) $\bigvee_{z} = A(\phi_{F1} - 2\phi_{Xe} + \phi_{F2})$ (bonding)



Example 2. SF₄

a) Classic view (involving valence d orbital).

sp³d hybridization, 1 lone pair + 4 S-F bonds



b) 3-center 4-electron bond (excluding d orbital).

- sp² hybridization \rightarrow 1 lone pair + 2 S-F (equatorial)
- Axial 3c4e bond (MO): S 3p_z (2e) + 2F 2p_z (1e)

 $\psi_1 = A \phi_{F1} - B \phi_S + A \phi_{F2} \quad \psi_2 = A' (\phi_{F1} - \phi_{F2})$

 $\psi_{LUMO} = A\phi_{F1} + B\phi_S + A\phi_{F2} \quad (A < B)$

- This model accounts well for the fact that the axial S-F bonds are longer than the equatorial ones.
- Such bonding model can be used for similar hypervalent compounds, e.g., XeF₄, BrF₅ etc!



§ 5.2 Valence Shell Electron-Pair Repulsion (VSEPR) Model (After-class reading)

- Quantum mechanical treatments have a number of advantages. However, the VSEPR model allows a simple qualitative prediction of molecular geometry.
- In a molecule, the valence electron pairs:
 - i) Stabilized by electrostatic attraction by the nucleus,

ii) Destabilized by Pauli repulsion between their neighbors.

• A lone pair is more diffuse than a bonding pair, exerting larger repulsion to a neighboring pair.

A stable molecule should adopt such a geometry that minimizes the repulsions among its various electron pairs!

VSEPR

Overall spatial distribution pattern of valence electron pairs of central atom.

Atom B in AB_n lies on the surface of a sphere; electron pairs are "localized" on a sphere of smaller radius at maximum distances apart, so as to minimize overlap of different electron pairs.

- 1. Repulsion between two lone pairs (LPs) is the greatest.
- 2. Repulsion between a lone electron pair and a bonding electron pair (**BP**) is less.
- 3. Repulsion between two bonding pairs is the least.
- 4. π electron pair does not influence stereochemistry.

```
Repulsion of electron pairs
```

LP-LP > LP-BP > BP-BP

The position of lone pair(s) matters!

VSEPR model is sometimes used in combination with the Lewis dot-electron structures and hybrid orbital theory.

Arrangements of Maximum distance between valence shell electron pairs.

No. of pairs	arrangement
2	Linear
3	Equilateral triangle
4	Tetrahedron
5	Trigonal bipyramid
6	Octahedron





AX₂ BeCl₂, CaCl₂ (linear) (2+2)/2=2

AX₃ BF₃ (trigonal plane)

(3+3)/2=3



AX₂E CCl₂, SnCl₂ (bent)

(4+2)/2=3







AX₄ CH₄, AlH₄⁻, CCl₄, NH₄⁺ (tetrahedral) (4+4)/2=4

 AX_3E NH₃, NF₃ (pyramidal) (5+3)/2=4

AX₂E₂ H₂O, H₂S (bent)

(6+2)/2=4

12 | ______3





Repulsion of electron pairs

LP - LP > LP - BP > BP - BP



- N(VEP) = (7 + 4 + 1)/2 = 6; N(BP) = 4; N(LP) = 2
- Simply considering the pairs with a bond angle ~ 90°!!!!!!!

9

• The first structure is preferred!

Repulsion of electron pairs



12

- N(VEP) = (7+3)/2 = 5; N(BP) = 3; N(LP) = 2
- The second structure is preferred!

Molecular Stereochemistry

No. of pairs of e	formula	stereochemistry	point group
1	A ₂ , AB	none	$\mathbf{D}_{\infty \mathbf{h}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{\infty \mathbf{v}}$
2	AB ₂	linear	$\mathbf{D}_{\infty \mathbf{h}}$
3	AB_2e^1	bent	C _{2v}
3	AB ₃	triangular	D _{3h}
4	AB_2e^2	bent	C_{2v}
4	AB ₃ e ¹	pyramidal	C _{3v}
4	AB ₄	tetrahedral	T_d

Molecular Stereochemistry

No. of pairs of e-	formula	stereochemistry	point group
5	AB ₂ e ³	linear	$\mathbf{D}_{\infty \mathbf{h}}$
5	AB ₃ e ²	T-shaped	C_{2v}
5	AB ₄ e ¹	distorted tetrahedron	C_{2v}
5	AB ₅	trigonal bipyramid	D _{3h}
6	AB ₄ e ²	square planar	D _{4h}
6	AB ₅ e ¹	square pyramid	C_{4v}
6	AB ₆	octahedron	O_h

VSEPR Example



- Bond angles decrease with increasing electronegativity of the ligand or decreasing electronegativity of the central atom.
- Why? The BP is more distant from the central atom upon increasing electronegativity of the ligand.

$AB_n e^m$ (n = coordination number; m = number of lone pairs)

AB ₂ e ⁰	linear	BeCl _{2(g)} , MX _{2(g)} (M = Zn, Cd, Hg), Hg ₂ Cl ₂ ,
		$M(CN)^{2}$ (M = Ag, Au)
AB ₂ e ¹	bent	NO2, SO2, SnX2, PbX2
AB ₂ e ²	bent	H ₂ O, SX ₂ , SeX ₂ , TeX ₂ , CIO ₂
AB ₃ e ⁰	trigonal planar	BX ₃ , Gal ₃ , InMe ₃ , CR ₃ ⁺
AB ₃ e ¹	pyramidal	NH3, NR3, NX3, PX3, AsX3, SbX3, SO32-
AB ₃ e ²	T-shaped	CIF ₃ , BrF ₃ , PhICl ₂
AB₄e ⁰	tetrahedral	BeX4 ²⁻ , BX4 ⁻ , CR4, CX4, NH4 ⁺ , NR4 ⁺ , AsR4 ⁺ ,
		MX4 (M = Si, Ge, Sn, Pb, Ti, Zr, Hf, Th),
		MX_4^{2-} (M = Zn, Cd, Hg)
AB ₄ e ¹	distorted tetrahedral	SF ₄ , SCI ₄ , SeF ₄ , SeCI ₄ , R ₂ SeCI ₂ , R ₂ TeCI ₂
AB ₄ e ²	planar	ICI ₄ , BrF ₄ , XeF ₄
AB5e	trigonal bipyramidal	PF5, PCI5, SbCI5,; MCI5(g), M(OR)5(g) (M = Nb, Ta)
AB ₅ e ¹	square pyramidal	CIF ₅ , BrF ₅ , IF ₅
AB ₆ e ⁰	octahedral	SF ₆ , SeF ₆ , MoF ₆ , WCI ₆ , WMe ₆ , PF ₆ ,
		PCI6 ⁻ , SiF6 ²⁻ , SnCI6 ²⁻ , Mn(OH)6 ²⁺ , Fe(OH ₂)6 ³⁺ , FeF6

3-

§ 5.3 Delocalized π -electrons/conjugation and Delocalized Molecular Orbital Theory

From the qualitative viewpoint, we can always consider separately the σ - and π -electrons within a molecule!

5.3.1 Normal π bond



i.e., two-center-two-electron π-bond (2c-2e)

Except the σ -framework, the remaining, unhybridized *p* orbital of C atom is perpendicular to the molecular plane, forming a π bond with that of a neighboring C atom.



5.3.2 Delocalized π bond

Several 2c-2e π -bonds are continuously aligned !

 $\rightarrow \pi$ -conjugation & formation of a delocalized π -bond.



Conditions for the formation of a delocalized π bond:

- The atoms are <u>coplanar</u>, with every atom contributing a *p*-orbital orientated in the same direction. (?exceptions)
- The number of π electrons is less than twice of the number of participating *p*-orbitals.
- Q: Can d-type AO's be involved in a delocalized π -bond?

A. Some Inorganic conjugated molecules

i. Linear type (AB₂ 16 valence electron) C: sp-hybridization + $p_x + p_y$



Isoelectronic analogy: NO₂⁺, N₂O, N₃⁻; COS; BeCl₂, HgCl₂ * NO₂⁺ has two equivalent Π_3^4 bonds; NO₂ has just a Π_3^4 bond! , Π_3^4 ii. Non linear type(bent) (17~19 valence electron)

 $\wedge Z$ e.g., O_3 (18 valence electrons) Central atom O: sp² hybridization + $p_{\pi(x)}$ x_{\prime} π electrons : 18 - 6 - 8 = 4 \prod_{2}^{4} $\psi_{\pi 1}$ $\psi_{\pi 1} = \frac{1}{2} [\phi_1 + \sqrt{2}\phi_2 + \phi_3]$ bonding $\psi_{\pi 2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1 - \phi_3]$ non - bonding(HOMO) $\psi_{\pi 2}$ $\psi_{\pi 3} = \frac{1}{2} [\phi_1 - \sqrt{2}\phi_2 + \phi_3]$ anti - bonding(LUMO) $\psi_{\pi 3}$ (define $\int \phi_i \phi_i = \delta_{ii}$) Isoelectron analogy: anti-bonding SO_2

19 valence electrons: CIO₂



sp² orbital



Isoelectronic analogy: AIF_3 , NO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} , SO_3 , CI_2CO , $(H_2N)_2C=O$, $CI_2C=S$

- For four-atom triangular type molecules, the p_{π} -orbitals form one bonding, two nonbonding and one antibonding orbitals, in general.
- For four-atom linear type molecules, the p_{π} -orbitals form two bonding and two antibonding orbitals!

After-class assignment:

Please construct the π -MOs of BCl₃ by using the p_{π} AOs.



$$3Cl \ p_{\pi}: \{\phi_{l}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\} \qquad B \ p_{\pi}: \phi_{4} \ A;$$

$$C_{3} \qquad E \ C_{3} \ C_{3}^{2} \qquad \epsilon = e^{2\pi i/3}$$

$$A \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \epsilon^{\epsilon} \ \epsilon^{\epsilon} \ \epsilon^{\epsilon}\} \ (x, y), (R_{x}, R_{y}) \qquad \epsilon^{2} + y^{2}, z^{2} \ (x^{2} - y^{2}, xy), (yz, xz)$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi} \ 3 \ 0 \ 0 \ \{3 \ p_{\pi} \ Cl\} \qquad \Gamma_{\pi} = A \oplus E$$

$$SALC^{A} = \phi_{1} + \phi_{2} + \phi_{3} \ SALC_{1}^{E} = \phi_{2} - \phi_{3} \ SALC_{2}^{E} = 2\phi_{1} - \phi_{2} - \phi_{3}$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3} + \lambda^{2}} (\phi_{1} + \phi_{2} + \phi_{3} - \lambda\phi_{4}) \qquad \Psi_{2}^{E} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{2} - \phi_{3}) \qquad \Psi_{4}^{E} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2\phi_{1} - \phi_{2} - \phi_{3})$$
B. Some organic conjugated molecules



5.3.3 The conjugation effects.

i. The electrical conductivity is enhanced by the delocalization of π bond, e.g., graphene.

ii. Color. The formation of delocalized π bonding increases the delocalized extent of the π electrons and causes the energy of the system to decrease.

Phenolphthalein - an indicator of basicity





Electron delocalization: i) enhanced acidity of phenol and carboxylic acids; ii) weakened basicity of amides and aniline.

- iv. Delocalization/conjugation effects on Chemical reactivity.
 - e.g. $H_2C=CH-Cl$. The formation of the Π_3^4 -bond causes a contraction of the C-Cl bond, and reduces the lability of Cl.



e.g. Benzene. The π -bond of Benzene exhibits much lower reactivity than that of simple alkenes.

5.3.4 Hyperconjugation.

- First introduced in 1939 by R.S. Mulliken.
- Hyperconjugation is *the stabilizing interaction* of the electrons in a σ -bond (usually C–H or C–C) with an adjacent empty (or partially filled) *non-bonding p-orbital or antibonding \pi orbital*, which gives an extended molecular orbital that increases the stability of the system.



Effects of hyperconjugation on Chemical properties.

A. Bond length and bond energy:

 σ -bond: Shortening of bond length and increasing of bond energy.



The dipole moment of 1,1,1-trichloroethane with hyperconjugation is much larger than that of chloroform $(HCCl_3)$.

C. Stabilizing carbocations:

Hyperconjugative interaction between the electron(s) in a bond that is β to the positively charged C can stabilize a carbocation.



Stability of carbocations:

```
(CH_3)_3C^+ > (CH_3)_2CH^+ > (CH_3)CH_2^+ > CH_3^+
```

§ 5.4 Hückel molecular orbital (HMO) theory and π-conjugation



5.4.1 HMO method – A semiempirical treatment!

- Proposed in 1931 by Hückel to treat π -conjugation.
 - i) composition and energy of π -MO's
 - ii) properties, stability, and even electronic spectra
- Modified in 1950s to treat σ-electrons/σ-MO's -- EHMO



Single-particle approximation: the total π -electron Hamiltonian for a Π_n^m system is approximated by the form,

$$\hat{H}_{\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{h}_{j}^{eff}$$
 (i.e., sum of one-electron effective Hamiltonians)

• The total wavefunction of the Π_n^m system can be expressed as,

$$\Psi_{\pi} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \psi_{j} & \hat{H}_{\pi} \Psi_{\pi} = E_{\pi} \Psi_{\pi} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{h}_{j}^{eff} \psi_{j} = \varepsilon_{j} \psi_{j} \\ E_{\pi} = \sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \end{cases} & \pi - \text{MO: } \psi_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \phi_{i} \\ \text{LCAO-MO} & \psi_{j} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{h}_{j}^{eff}\psi_{j} = \varepsilon_{j}\psi_{j} \quad (\psi_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\phi_{i} \) \qquad \text{Suppose we have the form of } h^{eff} ! \\ \text{then use the variation theorem!} \\ \text{Variation integral} \quad <\varepsilon > = \int \psi * \hat{h}^{eff}\psi d\tau \quad let E = <\varepsilon > \\ \text{Then make} \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{1}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{2}} = \dots = \frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{n}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{Variation Theorem-Minimization of E!} \\ \end{array}$$

Thus we have *n* secular equations (in the matrix form),

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{11} - ES_{11} & H_{12} - ES_{12} \dots & H_{1n} - ES_{1n} \\ H_{21} - ES_{21} & H_{22} - ES_{22} \dots & H_{2n} - ES_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ H_{n1} - ES_{n1} & H_{n2} - ES_{n2} \dots & H_{nn} - ES_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \dots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This demands the secular determinant to be zero, i.e.,

$$\begin{vmatrix} H_{11} - ES_{11} & H_{12} - ES_{12} \dots & H_{1n} - ES_{1n} \\ H_{21} - ES_{21} & H_{22} - ES_{22} \dots & H_{2n} - ES_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ H_{n1} - ES_{n1} & H_{n2} - ES_{n2} \dots & H_{nn} - ES_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
Number of integrals:
$$\begin{vmatrix} H_{ij} \sim \mathbf{n(n+1)/2} \\ S_{ij} \sim \mathbf{n(n+1)/2} \\ \text{Yet } h^{eff} \text{ is unknown!} \end{vmatrix}$$

To solve it, Hückel further introduced the following approximations,

$$H_{ii} = \int \phi_i^* \hat{h} \phi_i d\tau = \alpha \ (e.g., energy of \pi - AO)$$

$$H_{ij} = \int \phi_i^* \hat{h} \phi_j d\tau = \beta \ (if \ i = j \pm 1, neighboring)$$

$$= 0 \ (if \ i \neq j \pm 1)$$

$$S_{ij} = \int \phi_i^* \phi_j d\tau = 1 \ (i = j, the same atom)$$

$$= 0 \ (i \neq j, different atom)$$

$$= 0 \ (i \neq j, different atom)$$

$$Get \ \{E_j\} \ (n \ roots)$$

$$Get \ (a \ roots)$$

$$Get \ (a \ roots)$$

$$Get \ (b \ root$$

Semiempirical determination of the integrals:

$$H_{ii} = \int \phi_i^* \hat{h} \phi_i d\tau = \alpha$$
Coulombic integral \approx energy of π -type AO!
(e.g., C 2p AO)

$$H_{ij} = \int \phi_i^* \hat{h} \phi_j d\tau = \beta$$
 (if $i = j \pm 1$)
 $= 0$ (if $i \neq j \pm 1$)
C₂H₄
(2c-2e π -MO, $\alpha - E = \beta$
 $\beta = \alpha - E$ $= 0$
 $x = (\alpha - E)/\beta$
 $x = \frac{x}{1} = 0$
 $x = \frac{\alpha - E}{\beta}$
 $x = \frac{\alpha - E}{\beta}$
 $x = \frac{\alpha - E}{\beta}$
 $x = \frac{\alpha - E}{2p_A}$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2E_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$
 $f = E_{\pi-bond} = 2B_1 - 2E_0 = 2\beta$

5.4.2 The HMO treatment for the π -bonding within 1,3-butadiene

H₂C=CH-CH=CH₂

$$\psi_{\pi} = c_1 \phi_1 + c_2 \phi_2 + c_3 \phi_3 + c_4 \phi_4 \qquad \qquad \text{Variation theorem}$$

Simplified secular equation by using Hückel approximations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha - E & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha - E & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha - E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Seqular determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha - E & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha - E & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha - E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$



Now we can solve the secular equations to get the coefficients $\{c_i\}$:

normalization condition: $c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2 = 1$

1) By substituting $x_1 = -1.618$, we get

$$c_1 = c_4 = 0.372$$
, $c_2 = c_3 = 0.602$

i.e., the π -MO is in the form

$$\psi_1 = 0.372\phi_1 + 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.372\phi_4$$

with
$$E_1 = \alpha - x_1 \beta = \alpha + 1.618 \beta$$



Delocalized energy

Total π -electron energy in butadiene

$$E_{\pi e}(C_4 H_6) = 2E_1 + 2E_2 = 2(\alpha + 1.618\beta) + 2(\alpha + 0.618\beta)$$
$$= 4\alpha + 4.48\beta$$

Total
$$\pi$$
-electron energy of two isolated
C=C bonds (each akin a π -bond in C₂H₄)

$$E_{\pi e}(2C = C) = 2 \times 2(\alpha + \beta)$$

$$= 4\alpha + 4\beta$$

$$E_{1} = \alpha + \beta$$

Thus, the delocalized energy or resonance energy is

$$E_{deloc} = E_{\pi e} (C_4 H_6) - E_{\pi e} (2C = C) = 0.48 \beta < 0$$

Electron delocalization (π -conjugation) enhances the stability!

After-class Problem

For 1,3-butadiene, the two occupied HMO's ($\psi_1 \& \psi_2$) are delocalized in nature and expressed as

$$\psi_1 = 0.372\phi_1 + 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.372\phi_4 \quad E_1 = \alpha + 1.618\beta$$

$$\psi_2 = 0.602\phi_1 + 0.372\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 - 0.602\phi_4 \quad E_2 = \alpha + 0.618\beta$$

Let us consider the linear combinations of these two delocalized HMO's, which can be expressed as

$$\Phi_1 = (\psi_1 + \psi_2) / \sqrt{2} = [0.974(\phi_1 + \phi_2) + 0.23(\phi_3 - \phi_4)] / \sqrt{2}$$

$$\Phi_2 = (\psi_1 - \psi_2) / \sqrt{2} = [0.23(\phi_2 - \phi_1) + 0.974(\phi_3 + \phi_4)] / \sqrt{2}$$

Please prove the two wavefunctions, Φ_1 and Φ_2 , have equal energy. Please figure out what they represent.

• Is the C1=C2 bond stronger than a normal C=C bond?



5.4.3 Population analysis and molecular diagrams of conjugated system

i. Charge density --- the total π -electron density on the *i*th atom

$$\rho_i = \sum_k n_k c_i^2(k) \qquad \text{Tr}_k$$

The probability of an electron in the *k*th MO to appear on the *i*th atom

 n_k : number of electrons in the *k*th MO.

$$\because \psi(k) = \sum_{i} c_i(k)\phi_i \implies 1 = \int \psi^2(k)d\tau = \sum_{i} c_i^2(k) \implies$$

The total π -electron density on the *i*th atom is:

• For 1,3-butadiene, the two doubly occupied MO's are

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\pi 1} &= 0.372\phi_1 + 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.372\phi_4 \\ \psi_{\pi 2} &= 0.602\phi_1 + 0.372\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 - 0.602\phi_4 \\ \rho_1 &= 2 \times 0.372^2 + 2 \times 0.602^2 = 1.000 \\ \rho_2 &= 2 \times 0.602^2 + 2 \times 0.372^2 = 1.000 \\ \rho_3 &= \rho_4 = 1.000 \end{split}$$

 $\rho_i = \sum_k n_k c_i^2(k)$

5.4.3 Population analysis and molecular diagrams of conjugated system

ii. Bond order --- the strength of the π -bond between atoms *i* and *j*

$$p_{ij} = \sum_{k} n_k c_i(k) c_j(k)$$
 Sum over all occupied MO's.

 n_k : number of electrons in the *k*th occupied MO.

For 1,3-butadiene, the two occupied MO's are

$$\psi_{\pi 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.372\phi_1 + 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.372\phi_4 \\ \psi_{\pi 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.602\phi_1 + 0.372\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 \\ -0.602\phi_4 \end{bmatrix} - 0.602\phi_4$$

$$p_{12} = 2 \times 0.372 \times 0.602 + 2 \times 0.602 \times 0.372 = 0.896 = p_{34}$$

$$p_{23} = 2 \times 0.602^2 - 2 \times 0.372^2 = 0.448$$
 $\therefore p_{12} = p_{34} = 2p_{23}$

• The π -bond order of C1=C2 or C3=C4 is twice of the C2-C3 π -bond order.

iii. Free valence index --- the relative magnitude of the residue bonding ability of the ith atom

$$F_{i} = P_{max} - \sum_{j=i\pm 1} P_{ij}; \qquad P_{max} = \sqrt{3}$$

$$F_{1} = \sqrt{3} - P_{12} = \sqrt{3} - 0.896 = 0.836 = F_{4}$$

$$F_{2} = \sqrt{3} - P_{12} - P_{23} = \sqrt{3} - 0.448 - 0.896 = 0.388 = F_{3}$$

In butadiene, the 1,4-sites are more reactive than the 2,3-sites!

Example: 1,4 addition reaction of butadiene H₂C=CH-CH=CH₂ + Br₂ → BrH₂C-CH=CH-CH₂Br

iv. Molecular diagram. 0.836 0.388 0.388 **0.836** *F*_{*i*} P_{ij} 0.896 0.448 0.896 **H**₂C ČН ČΗ₂ CH 12 ρ_i 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 9

Another example:

Please derive the secular determinant of trimethylenemethane.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha - E & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \alpha - E & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & \alpha - E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} H_2C & CH_2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ \\ \text{Variation} \\ \text{theorem} \\ \psi = \sum_i c_i \phi_i \\ \psi = \sum_i c_i \phi_i \end{array}$$

Then the secular determinant is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha - E & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \alpha - E & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & \alpha - E \end{vmatrix} =$$



2 • CH₂

After-class assignment

• Please construct the π -MOs of trimethylenemethane by using symmetry and then quickly obtain the eigenvalue of each π -MO as well as the C-C π -bond order by using the HMO approximation !

<i>C1</i> : <i>q</i>	$\phi_1 A;$			<i>C2-4:</i> $\{\phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3, \phi_3$	ϕ_{4}
C_3	E	C_3	C_{3}^{2}		$\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/3}$
A	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	1 -*)	z, R_z	$x^2 + y^2, z^2$
Ε	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	ε ε*	€* € }	$(x, y), (R_x, R_y)$	$(x^2 - y^2, xy), (yz, xz)$
					2 ●CH2
					e 1
					H ₂ C CH ₂
					3 4

5.4.4 HMO treatments of cyclic conjugated polyenes (C_nH_n) e.g., π -conjugation in Benzene



General HMO solutions for cyclic conjugated polyenes (C_nH_n)



• When *n=4m*, the system has two degenerate singly-occupied HOMOs (non-bonding), thus being diradicaloid.

• Only when n = 4m+2 can a cyclically conjugated system has fully occupied HOMOs and be chemically stable. (fulfilling the Hückel rule of aromaticity!)

§ 5.5 Graphical method to predefine the coefficients of HMOs for conjugated systems

Background

• The AO coefficients of HMO's for π -conjugated organic systems correlate with their geometries, displaying "*quasi-*

periodicity".

e.g., 1,3-butadiene

tadiene
$$\psi_1 = 0.372\phi_1 + 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.372\phi_4$$

 $\psi_2 = 0.602\phi_1 + 0.372\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 - 0.602\phi_4$
 $\psi_3 = 0.602\phi_1 - 0.372\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 + 0.602\phi_4$
 $\psi_4 = 0.372\phi_1 - 0.602\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 - 0.372\phi_4$

Accordingly, a graphical method was developed by *Q.E. Zhang* et al. to predefine the AO coefficients of HMOs for such type of systems.

5.5.1 Principle for linear conjugated [n]polyenes.



For a linear [n]polyene, we have *n* secular equations:

$$\begin{split} C_{1}(\alpha-E) + C_{2}\beta = 0; & C_{1}\beta + C_{2}(\alpha-E) + C_{3}\beta = 0 \\ C_{2}\beta + C_{3}(\alpha-E) + C_{4}\beta = 0; & \dots \\ C_{k-1}\beta + C_{k}(\alpha-E) + C_{k+1}\beta = 0; & \dots ; & C_{n-1}\beta + C_{n}(\alpha-E) = 0 \end{split}$$

Or in the matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha - E & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta & \alpha - E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i=1

Define
$$x = (\alpha - E)/\beta$$

 $sin \alpha_1 + sin \alpha_2 = 2 sin \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} cos \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{2}$
 $C_2 = -xC_1 + 0$
 $C_3 = -xC_2 - C_1$
 $C_4 = -xC_3 - C_2$
 \dots
 $C_{k+1} = -xC_k - C_{k-1}$
 \dots
 $C_k = sin k\theta$
 $C_{k+1} + C_{k-1} = 2cos\theta C_k$
naturally with
 $C_{k-1} = sin(k-1)\theta$
 $C_{k+1} = sin(k-1)\theta$
 $C_{k+1} = sin(k+1)\theta$
 $C_{n+1} = sin(k+1)\theta$
 $C_{n+1} = sin(k+1)\theta$
 $C_{n+1} = sin(k+1)\theta$

Now we get θ , and then $E = \alpha + 2\beta \cos\theta$ and $\{C_k\}$ simultaneously!





- For linear [*n*]polyenes with *n=even*, there are *n/2* bonding π-MO's and *n/2* antibonding π-MO's.
- For linear [n]polyenes with n=odd, there are (n-1)/2 bonding π-MO's, (n-1)/2 antibonding π-MO's and a non-bonding π-MO.

a) When *n=odd*, SOMO, m = (n+1)/2, $\theta_{SOMO} = \pi/2$, $E_{SOMO} = \alpha$ $\psi_{SOMO} = A(\phi_1 - \phi_3 + \phi_5 - ...)$ Non-bonding! $\theta_1 = 2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{$

b) When *n=even*, HOMO, m = n/2; LUMO, m = (n+2)/2.

$$\theta_{HOMO} = \frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \qquad \theta_{LUMO} = \frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}$$

Coefficients of AO's: HOMO

 $n = 4l+2: \quad C_n = C_1, \quad C_{n-1} = C_2, \dots \quad C_n = -C_1, \quad C_{n-1} = -C_2, \dots$ $n = 4l: \quad C_n = -C_1, \quad C_{n-1} = -C_2, \dots \quad C_n = C_1, \quad C_{n-1} = C_2, \dots \quad C_n = C_1, \quad C_{n-1} = C_2, \dots$

LUMO

Example:	butadiene	(n=4)
$\pi - MOs$:	$\psi = A \sum_{i=1}^{4} c$	$c_i \phi_i$

 $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc \\ \sin\theta \sin 2\theta \sin 3\theta \sin 4\theta$

9

6

 $|:: \sin 5\theta = 0 \& \sin \theta \neq 0, \quad \therefore \theta = m\pi/5 \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, 4)$

т	1	2	3	4
$\overline{ heta}$	$\pi/5$	$2\pi/5$	$3\pi/5$	4 <i>π</i> /5
$2\cos$	θ 1.618	0.618	- 0.618	-1.618
Ε	α +1.618 β	$\alpha + 0.618\beta$	α - 0.618/	$\beta \alpha - 1.618\beta$
$\psi_m = 1$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(\sin\frac{m\pi}{5}\phi_1 -$	$+\sin\frac{2m\pi}{5}\phi_2 + \sin\frac{2m\pi}{5}$	$ in \frac{3m\pi}{5}\phi_3 + s $	$\sin\frac{4m\pi}{5}\phi_4)$
$\psi_1 =$	$0.372\phi_1 + 0.602$	$2\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 + 0.$.372 <i>ø</i> ₄	
$\psi_2 =$	$0.602\phi_1 + 0.372$	$2\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 - 0.$	$602\phi_4$ HOM	0
$\psi_3 =$	$0.602\phi_1 - 0.372$	$2\phi_2 - 0.372\phi_3 + 0.000$	$.602\phi_4$ LUM	0
$\psi_4 =$	$0.372\phi_1 - 0.602$	$2\phi_2 + 0.602\phi_3 - 0.$.372 <i>ø</i> ₄	

$$E_{1} = \alpha + 1.618\beta, \ \psi_{1} = 0.372\phi_{1} + 0.602\phi_{2} + 0.602\phi_{3} + 0.372\phi_{4} \text{ symmetric}$$

$$E_{2} = \alpha + 0.618\beta, \ \psi_{2} = 0.602\phi_{1} + 0.372\phi_{2} - 0.372\phi_{3} - 0.602\phi_{4} \text{ asymmetric}$$

$$E_{3} = \alpha - 0.618\beta, \ \psi_{3} = 0.602\phi_{1} - 0.372\phi_{2} - 0.372\phi_{3} + 0.602\phi_{4} \text{ symmetric}$$

$$E_{4} = \alpha - 1.618\beta, \ \psi_{4} = 0.372\phi_{1} - 0.602\phi_{2} + 0.602\phi_{3} - 0.372\phi_{4} \text{ asymmetric}$$



The MOs of a [n]polyene can be classified into two categories, symmetric and asymmetric ones, in terms of their AO coefficients.

5.5.2 Symmetry classification

a. [n] polyenes with even-number carbon atoms: C_nH_{n+2} (n=even)



Symmetric MOs:

$$\cos \frac{n-1}{2}\theta \cos \frac{n-3}{2}\theta \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta, \quad \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta \cos \frac{n-3}{2}\theta \cos \frac{n-1}{2}\theta$$
(n/2)

Boundary condition:
$$\cos \frac{n+1}{2}\theta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n+1}{2}\theta = \frac{2m+1}{2}\pi \quad \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 0, 1, ..., < n/2)$$

$$\therefore E_{m}^{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, ..., < \frac{n}{2})$$

A total of n/2 values
Asymmetric MOs: $\& C_{k-1} + C_{k+1} = 2C_k \cos\theta$ $:: C_1 = -C_{1'}, C_2 = -C_{2'}, ...,$ Let coefficients for central atoms be $-sin(\theta/2)$, $sin(\theta/2)$ $C_i = -\sin\frac{n-1}{2}\theta, -\sin\frac{n-3}{2}\theta$ $\sin\frac{n-3}{2}\theta, \sin\frac{n-1}{2}\theta$ Then coefficients for terminal atoms are $-\sin\frac{n-1}{2}\theta$ and $\sin\frac{n-1}{2}\theta$ The boundary condition: $\sin\frac{n+1}{2}\theta = 0 \implies \frac{n+1}{2}\theta = m\pi$ $\therefore \theta = \frac{2m\pi}{n+1} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2})$ A total of n/2 values. $\therefore E = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m\pi}{n+1} \quad (m = 1, 2, ..., \frac{n}{2})$

Symmetric MO's
$$E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi$$
 $(m = 0, 1, 2, ..., < \frac{n}{2})$
Asymmetric MO's $E_{asym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m}{n+1}\pi$ $(m = 1, 2, ..., \frac{n}{2})$

Thus, the lowest n/2 MO's ($\theta < \pi/2$) are bonding and doubly occupied!



Symmetric MO's
$$E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi$$
 $(m = 0, 1, 2, ..., < \frac{n}{2})$
Asymmetric MO's $E_{asym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m}{n+1}\pi$ $(m = 1, 2, ..., \frac{n}{2})$

Thus, the lowest n/2 MO's ($\theta < \pi/2$) are bonding and doubly occupied!

n = 4k FMO symmetry
$$\theta$$
 E
HOMO Asymm. $m = \frac{n}{4} \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \pi$ $E_{asym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \pi$
LUMO Symm. $m = \frac{n}{4} \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \pi$ $E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \pi$
n = 4k+2
HOMO Symm. $m = \frac{n-2}{4} \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \pi$ $E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \pi$
LUMO Asymm. $m = \frac{n+2}{4} \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \pi$ $E_{asym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \pi$



b. [n]polyenes with odd-number carbon atoms: (n=odd) Symmetric MO's:

$$[(n-1)/2] 1 0 1' [(n-1)/2]'$$

$$Cos \frac{n-1}{2}\theta \cos \frac{n-3}{2}\theta \cos \theta, 1, \cos \theta \cos \frac{n-3}{2}\theta \cos \frac{n-1}{2}\theta$$

:
$$C_{k-1} + C_{k+1} = 2C_k \cos \theta$$
 & $C_0 = 1, C_1 = C_{1'}, \dots, C_{l(n-1)/2l} = C_{l(n-1)/2l'}$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = C_{1'} = \cos\theta \implies C_2 = 2C_1\cos\theta - 1 = \cos 2\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots, C_{(n-3)/2} = \cos \frac{n-3}{2} \theta \Rightarrow C_{(n-1)/2} = \cos \frac{n-1}{2} \theta$$

Boundary conditions:

$$cos \frac{n+1}{2}\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n+1}{2}\theta = \frac{2m+1}{2}\pi \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{2})$$

$$\therefore E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \theta = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{2}) \qquad , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{2} \qquad , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-$$

Asymmetric MO's:

MOs of [n]polyenes with odd-number carbon atoms

E

Asymmetric MO's:
$$E_{asym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{2})$$

Symmetric MO's: $E_{sym} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{2m+1}{n+1}\pi \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{2})$

 ne_{π} , \rightarrow (n-1)/2 MOs doubly occupied, and one non-bonding SOMO!



$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{E}_{(n+3)/2} & & & & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{(n+1)/2} & & & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{(n-1)/2} & & & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{(n-1)/2} & & & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{2} & & & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{1} & & & & \\ \mathbf{W}_{1} & & & \\ \mathbf{W}_{1} & & \\ \mathbf{W}$$

FMOs of [n]polyenes with odd-number carbon atoms



SOMOn = 4k+1n = 4k+3asymmetric

$$E = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \theta$$
$$\theta = \pi/2, E = \alpha$$

3





$$\Psi_{as2} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 + \varphi_4 - \varphi_5)$$
 Anti-bonding

Ι,







 $\Psi_{as1} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_4 - \phi_5)$



$$\Psi_{s2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} (\phi_1 - \phi_3 + \phi_5)$$





α





c. Cyclic conjugated molecules
Example 1: benzene ---solution I (n=6 !)
i) Symmetric MO's

$$C_{k} = C_{k}' = \cos \frac{2k-1}{2} \theta \quad (k = 1, 2, ... \frac{n}{2})$$
Boundary condition:

$$2\cos \theta C_{k} = C_{k+1} + C_{k-1}$$

$$2\cos \theta C_{6} = C_{5} + C_{1}$$

$$2\cos \theta \cos \frac{5}{2} \theta = \cos \frac{5}{2} \theta + \cos \frac{3}{2} \theta \Rightarrow$$

$$\cos \frac{7}{2} \theta - \cos \frac{5}{2} \theta = 0 \Rightarrow -2\sin 3\theta \sin \frac{\theta}{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sin 3\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = m\pi/3 \quad (m = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \theta & 0^{\circ}, \quad 60^{\circ}, \quad 120^{\circ} \\ \cos \theta & 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 2 \cos \theta & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ E & \alpha + 2\beta & \alpha + \beta & \alpha - \beta \end{array} \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 2 & 3 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

ii) Asymmetric MO's
Boundary condition:
$$2\cos\theta C_{k} = C_{k+1} + C_{k-1}$$

$$2\cos\theta \sin\frac{5}{2}\theta = \sin\frac{3}{2}\theta - \sin\frac{5}{2}\theta$$

$$\sin\frac{7}{2}\theta + \sin\frac{3}{2}\theta = \sin\frac{3}{2}\theta - \sin\frac{5}{2}\theta$$

$$\sin\frac{7}{2}\theta + \sin\frac{5}{2}\theta = 0 \implies \sin 3\theta \cos\frac{\theta}{2} = 0$$

$$\implies \sin 3\theta = 0 \implies \theta = \frac{m}{3}\pi \quad (m = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$\theta \qquad 60^{\circ}, \qquad 120^{\circ}, \qquad 180^{\circ}$$

$$\cos\theta \qquad 1/2 \qquad -1/2 \qquad -1$$

$$2\cos\theta \qquad 1 \qquad -1 \qquad -2$$

$$E \qquad \alpha + \beta \qquad \alpha - \beta \qquad \alpha - 2\beta$$

$$\psi_{4} \qquad \psi_{5} \qquad \psi_{6} \qquad z$$

Example 2: benzene ---solution II (n=7!)
i) Symmetric MO's
Boundary condition:
$$2\cos\theta C_k = C_{k+1} + C_{k-1}$$

 $\Rightarrow 2\cos\theta \cos 3\theta = \cos 2\theta + \cos 2\theta$
 $\cos 4\theta + \cos 2\theta = 2\cos 2\theta \Rightarrow \cos 4\theta - \cos 2\theta = 0$
 $\Rightarrow -\sin 3\theta \sin \theta = 0 \Rightarrow \sin 3\theta = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{m}{3}\pi (m = 0, 1, 2, 3 < \frac{7}{2})$
 $\theta = 0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ} 120^{\circ} 180^{\circ}$
 $\cos \theta = 1 1/2 - 1/2 - 1$
 $2\cos \theta = 2 \cos 2\theta \Rightarrow \alpha - \beta = \alpha - 2\beta$
 $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi_3 = \psi_4$
bonding anti - bonding



Complicated π -conjugation: e.g., benzyl radical



$$2\cos\theta(\cos 4\theta - \cos 2\theta) = \cos 3\theta \implies 2\cos\theta = 0, \ \pm (3\mp\sqrt{2})^{1/2}$$

2) Asymmetric MOs:

The coefficients of atoms 1,6 and 7 that are located in the reflection plane should be 0. Then $\sin 3\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = m\pi/3$ (m = 1,2) $\Rightarrow 2\cos\theta = \pm 1 \Rightarrow E = \alpha \mp \beta$

Remarks on HMO treatment of system with complicated π conjugation,

- 1) The use of symmetry can simplify the process. e.g., naphthalene
- 2) Secular equations pertaining to the multiply connected atoms should be carefully considered!

Example: Please derive the HMO's of trimethylenemethane (Π_4^4) without directly solving its secular determinant.



Question:

1. Can HMO theory be used to deal with other multicentermultielectron bonds (e.g., 3c2e bond in H_3^+ , 3c4e bond in PF5 etc) than the aforementioned $\Pi_n{}^m$ bonds?

2. Please try to perform HMO treatment on H_3^+ and figure out whether this cation is triangular or linear!

§ 5.6 Symmetry of molecular orbital and symmetry rules for molecular reactions

Brief introduction:

- Frontier Molecular orbital (FMO) Theory proposed by K. Fukui in early 1950s. (*J. Chem. Phys.* 1952, 20, 722.)
- The Principle of Orbital Symmetry Conservation proposed by R.B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann in 1965. (*JACS*, 1965, 87, 395.)
- Owing to their aforementioned contributions, Fukui and Hoffmann were awarded Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1981.









5.6.1 Frontier molecular orbitals Theory (1951)

- A deeper understanding of chemical reactivity can be gained by focusing on the *frontier orbitals* of the reactants.
- We need to consider only two frontier molecular orbitals (FMO's), the HOMO and LUMO, to predict the structure of the product.

Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)

•The FMO theory can be regarded as a natural extension of MO theory that facilitates us to obtain qualitative understanding of chemical reactivity.

Reaction condition

- 1. During the course of a chemical reaction, the orbitals that are most readily accessible for interaction are the frontier orbitals.
- 2. When two molecules approach each other, the symmetry of the HOMO of one molecule must be compatible with that of the LUMO of the other molecule, <u>i.e. orbitals with the same sign</u> will overlap. This forms a transition state which is relatively stable and is a symmetry-allowed state.
- **3.** The energy levels of the interacting HOMO and LUMO must be comparable (< 6 eV).
- 4. When the HOMO and LUMO of two molecules overlap, electrons are transferred from the HOMO of one molecule to the LUMO of the other molecule. The direction of the transfer should be in line with the electronegativities and be consistent with the weakening of the original bond.









e



Example D: $C_4H_6 + C_2H_4$ A Nobel-prize-wining Rxn!

Diels-Alder Reaction $[4+2]-\pi$ -electrons



Transition state

- We can illustrate the HOMO-LUMO interactions by way of the Diels-Alder reaction between ethylene and 1,3-butadiene.
- We need consider only the π electrons of ethylene and 1,3butadiene, and ignore the framework of σ bonds in each reactants.





FMO's of two reactants can overlap effectively!

• Symmetry-allowed and thermally activated.

12

Example E: A thermally "forbidden" reaction



- The dimerization of ethylene to give cyclobutane does not occur under conditions of typical Diels-Alder reactions(heating). i.e., it's thermally forbidden.
- Why?

A thermally "forbidden" reaction



- The addition reaction is thermally forbidden, but allowed between two photo-excited ethenes.
- A catalyst-free photochemical reaction involves the LUMO orbitals of reactants, i.e., excited state of reactants.

Can the [2+2]-cycloaddition occur catalytically?





This photochemical cyclic reaction occurs with the stereochemistry of its product being governed by the symmetry-allowed conrotary.

Electrocyclic reaction of 1,3-diene *Example H:* disrotary hν H₃C CH₃ H CH₃ H₃C LUMO of LUMQ ^HHOMO of 1,3-diene sigma bond Photo-reaction σ_v , symmetry maintained! HOMO Thermal reaction (Ground-state) Conrotary CH₃ Δ CH₃ H H₃C HOMO of HOMO of H₃C 1,3-diene sigma bond C_2 or σ_v 12 C₂ symmetry maintained! 9 3

Stereochemical Rules for the Electrocyclic Reactions

of [n]polyenes (n =even)

Number of

Electron pairs	Thermal Reaction	Photochemical
(double bonds)		Reaction
Even (i.e., <i>n</i> =4 <i>k</i>)	conrotatory	disrotatory
Odd (i.e., <i>n</i> =4 <i>k</i> +2)	disrotatory	conrotatory

Why?

9 | 3

[n]Polyenes with even-number p_{π} -orbitals.






Strategy: Suppose the transition state is a combination of a H atom and a [n]polyene with odd-number p_{π} orbitals.

•

[n]Polyenes: *n=odd*

$$\psi = \sum_{i} c_{i} \phi_{i}$$

$$c_{i} \sin \theta, \sin 2\theta \dots \sin n\theta$$

$$\sin(n-1)\theta, \sin n\theta$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta = m\pi/(n+1)}; \quad E = \alpha + 2\beta \cos\theta \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

If n = odd, SOMO, $\mathbf{m} = (\mathbf{n}+1)/2$, $\theta_{somo} = \pi/2$, $E_{somo} = \alpha$ $c_1 = \sin(\pi/2) = 1$; $c_2 = 0$; $c_3 = -1$; ..., $c_n = \sin(n\pi/2)$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_{SOMO} = A \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\phi_1 - \phi_3 + \phi_5 - \dots) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & 2 & 3 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & -4 \\ 5 & n & -1 \\ 5 & n &$$

	Symmetry of SOMO		Sigmatropic shift	
	$\sigma_v C_2$		TS symmetry	Mode
n= 4k+1	Sym. Asym.	× ×	$\sigma_v \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}$	Suprafacial
n=4k+3	Asym. Sym.	× ×	$C_2 \longrightarrow C_2$	Antarafacial

Note that the H-shift demands both ends of SOMO of [n]polyene (n=odd) to overlap effectively with the H 1s orbital. , i_{a}

• The sigmatropic H-transfer demands both ends of SOMO of such [n]polyene (*n=odd*) can overlap with the H 1s orbital. i.e.,



 Woodward-Hoffmann rules --- principle of orbital symmetry conservation (分子轨道对称性守恒原理)

Proposed originally to predict the stereochemistry of pericyclic reactions based on orbital symmetry.

- i. There is a one to one correspondence between the MO's of the reactant and the product.
- ii. The symmetry of the correlated orbitals is the same.
- iii. The correlated orbitals should have comparable energies.
- iv. The correlation lines for orbitals with the same symmetry do not intersect.

Orbital Symmetry Conservation

Example A: Electrocyclic Reactions of butadiene







Energy/orbital correlation diagram

- 1. During the disrotatory cyclic reaction, the systems have a common σ_v symmetry.
- 2. Thus the symmetries of all involved molecular orbitals subject to this operation should be maintained before/after the reaction.
- 3. In this regard, the HOMO and LUMO of the reactant are correlated with the LUMO and HOMO of the product by conservation of orbital symmetry.
- 4. Thus the reaction should be photo-promoted.



Energy correlation diagram

- 1. During the conrotatory cyclic reaction, the C_2 symmetry is conserved.
- 2. The symmetries of all involved molecular orbitals subject to this operator should be maintained before/after the reaction.
- The HOMO and HOMO-1 of the reactant are correlated with the HOMO-1 and HOMO of the product. So do the unoccupied MO's.
- 4. Thus the reaction occurs for the reactant at its ground state.



The MO correlation diagrams of (a) conrotary and (b) disrotary cyclic reactions of butadiene to cyclobutene





In photochemical reaction mode

• Conserved symm. Operators: σ_{xz} and σ_{yz} .

The HOMO and
LUMO of reactants are
correlated with the
LUMO and HOMO of
the product, respectively.

• Thus excited states of the reactants should be involved for the reaction to occur.

12

•

• Viability of reactions

- FMO's of reactants

Symmetry of MO's

- Symmetry of the FMO's determines everything.
- Simple, and widely applicable

• All correlated valence MO's of reactants and products

Stereochemical rules of reactions.

- Need to figure out the symmetry operators conserved in the reactions.
- Useful, yet a bit complicated



The reaction of $[Et_4N]I$ and tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) forms $[Et_4N]_2[TCNE]_2$, which possesses $[TCNE]_2^{2-}$ with an intradimer C-C bond distance of 2.827(3) Å (CrystEngComm, 2001, 47,1). Please analyze the unusual intradimer bonding.



The LUMO of neutral TCNE is the π* MO of the C=C moiety.

NC.

NC

The SOMO of [TCNE]-:





CN

CN

Spin conservation

• A chemical reaction occurs with conservation of spin.

$$2H_{2}(^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}) + O_{2}(^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{-}) \longrightarrow 2H_{2}O(^{1}A_{1})$$
$$C_{2}H_{4}(s=0) + C_{4}H_{6}(s=0) \longrightarrow C_{6}H_{10}(s=0)$$

• Please make use of the FMO theory to assess whether the ene reaction can occur thermally?



Hint: In the transition state of this reaction, the propene can be regarded as an allylic anion and a proton.



Symmetry allowed!

Summary of Chapter 5

Key points/concepts

1. Hybrid orbital theory and VSEPR

(Mostly Qualitative Theory/model!)

- 2. HMO, HMO treatment of π -conjugated systems
- 3. Graphical method to predefine coefficients of HMO of π conjugated systems. (Semi-quantitative Theory)
- 4. Symmetry rules for molecular reactions.

(Qualitative Theory)

[n]Polyacene: laterally fused benzene rings



Benzene, naphthalene, anthracene,..., pentacene, hexacene,...

- 1) HOMO-LUMO gap of oligoacene decreases with increasing of n.
- 2) Pristine oligoacene becomes instable with n > 5.
- 3) When n > 6, pristine oligoacene adopts an open-shell singlet ground state, i.e., biradical. (*J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 7416*)



Two degenerate disjoint non-bonding orbitals of [n]polyacene. (n>6)

Spatial Arrangements of Electron Pairs in Terminal Atoms in AB_n











$$\cos(\alpha \pm \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$
$$\sin(\alpha \pm \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$
$$\cos \alpha + \cos \beta = 2\cos\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$$
$$\cos \alpha - \cos \beta = -2\sin\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\sin\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$$
$$\sin \alpha + \sin \beta = 2\sin\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$$
$$\sin \alpha - \sin \beta = 2\cos\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\sin\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$$







HMO Treatment: Linear [n]polyenes (C_nH_{n+2}) - N Variation $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha - E & \beta & \dots & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha - E & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \beta & \alpha - E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \dots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$ $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi_i$ Trial π -MO The *n* MO's differ from each other $\{E_j\}, \{c_i(j)\}\$ (j=1, 2, ..., n) in energy (non-degenerate MO's!). The lowest n/2 (n = even) or lacksquare $\psi(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(j)\phi_i$ (n+1)/2 (*n*= odd) MO's are i=1occupied.

- For linear polyenes having *even* orbitals, there are n/2 bonding orbitals and n/2 antibonding orbitals.
- For linear polyenes having *odd* orbitals, there are *(n-1)/2* bonding orbitals, *(n-1)/2* antibonding orbitals and **a non-bonding** orbital.

e.g., Hexatriene -
$$\Pi_6^6$$

$$\psi = A \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i} \phi_{i}$$

$$\sin \theta \sin 2\theta \sin 3\theta \sin 4\theta \sin 5\theta \sin 6\theta$$

$$\sin \theta \sin 2\theta \sin 3\theta \sin 4\theta \sin 5\theta \sin 6\theta$$

$$\sin \theta = 0 \& \sin \theta \neq 0; \quad \therefore \theta = \frac{m\pi}{7} (m = 1, 2, ..., 6)$$

$$E_{m} = \alpha + 2\beta \cos \frac{m\pi}{7} (m = 1, 2, ..., 6)$$

$$m = 3, \text{ HOMO, bonding!}$$

$$\psi_{m} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \sum_{K=1}^{6} (\sin \frac{Km\pi}{7}) \phi_{K}$$

$$m = 4, \text{ LUMO, antibonding!}$$

In light of the superposition principle, each LMO of CH_4 can be expressed by a linear combination of its CMOs, or verse visa.

$$\frac{LMO \quad \text{CMOs} \quad \text{HO of } C, \quad \text{H1s}}{\Phi_a = \Psi_s + \Psi_x + \Psi_y + \Psi_z = (s + p_x + p_y + p_z)/2 + 1s_a}$$

$$\Phi_b = \Psi_s + \Psi_x - \Psi_y - \Psi_z = (s + p_x - p_y - p_z)/2 + 1s_b$$

$$\Phi_c = \Psi_s - \Psi_x - \Psi_y + \Psi_z = (s - p_x - p_y + p_z)/2 + 1s_c$$
 Net to be
normalized!

$$\Phi_d = \Psi_s - \Psi_x + \Psi_y - \Psi_z = (s - p_x + p_y - p_z)/2 + 1s_d$$

• It is provable that the four LMOs have the same energy! As CMOs are eigenfunctions of one-particle eigenequations, we have $\hat{h} \Psi_i^{CMO} = \varepsilon_i \Psi_i^{CMO} \& \int (\Psi_j^{CMO}) * \Psi_i^{CMO} d\tau = \delta_{ij}$ $\therefore \phi_j^{LMO} = \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i^{\ j} \Psi_i^{CMO} \& c_i^{\ j} = +/-1 \Rightarrow E_j^{\ LMO} = \int (\phi_j^{\ LMO}) * \hat{h} \phi_j^{\ LMO} d\tau / \int (\phi_j^{\ LMO}) * \phi_j^{\ LMO} d\tau$ $= \sum_{i=1}^4 (c_i^{\ j})^2 \varepsilon_i / \sum_{i=1}^4 (c_i^{\ j})^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^4 \varepsilon_i) / 4 = (\varepsilon_1 + 3\varepsilon_2) / 4$ (for j = 1,...,4)

Formation of four localized MO's of CH₄:



$$\frac{\varepsilon_{i}^{CMO} \ CMO \ AO_{C} \ AO's of H atoms}{\varepsilon_{1} \ a_{1}: \ \Psi_{s} = s \ + (1s_{a} + 1s_{b} + 1s_{c} + 1s_{d})/2} \\ \varepsilon_{2} \ t_{2} \begin{cases} \Psi_{x} = p_{x} + (1s_{a} + 1s_{b} - 1s_{c} - 1s_{d})/2 \\ \Psi_{y} = p_{y} + (1s_{a} - 1s_{b} - 1s_{c} + 1s_{d})/2 \\ \Psi_{z} = p_{z} + (1s_{a} - 1s_{b} + 1s_{c} - 1s_{d})/2 \end{cases} = \frac{SALC's of CH_{4}}{\Psi_{i}^{CMO}} = \sum_{m} c_{im} \varphi_{m}^{AO} \\ \psi_{i}^{m} = \sum_{m} c_{im} \varphi_{m}^{AO} \\ \psi_{i}^{m} = \sum_{m} c_{im} \varphi_{m}^{AO} \\ \psi_{i}^{m} = \sum_{m} c_{im} \varphi_{m}^{AO} \end{cases}$$

In light of the superposition principle, each LMO of CH_4 can be expressed by a linear combination of its CMOs, or verse visa.

$$\frac{LMO \quad \text{CMOs} \quad \text{HO of } C, \quad \text{H1s}}{\Phi_a = \Psi_s + \Psi_x + \Psi_y + \Psi_z = (s + p_x + p_y + p_z)/2 + 1s_a}$$

$$\Phi_b = \Psi_s + \Psi_x - \Psi_y - \Psi_z = (s + p_x - p_y - p_z)/2 + 1s_b$$

$$\Phi_c = \Psi_s - \Psi_x - \Psi_y + \Psi_z = (s - p_x - p_y + p_z)/2 + 1s_c$$
 Net to be
normalized!

$$\Phi_d = \Psi_s - \Psi_x + \Psi_y - \Psi_z = (s - p_x + p_y - p_z)/2 + 1s_d$$

• It is provable that the four LMOs have the same energy! As CMOs are eigenfunctions of one-particle eigenequations, we have $\hat{h} \Psi_i^{CMO} = \varepsilon_i \Psi_i^{CMO} \& \int (\Psi_j^{CMO}) * \Psi_i^{CMO} d\tau = \delta_{ij}$ $\therefore \phi_j^{LMO} = \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i^{\ j} \Psi_i^{CMO} \& c_i^{\ j} = +/-1 \Rightarrow E_j^{\ LMO} = \int (\phi_j^{\ LMO}) * \hat{h} \phi_j^{\ LMO} d\tau / \int (\phi_j^{\ LMO}) * \phi_j^{\ LMO} d\tau$ $= \sum_{i=1}^4 (c_i^{\ j})^2 \varepsilon_i / \sum_{i=1}^4 (c_i^{\ j})^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^4 \varepsilon_i) / 4 = (\varepsilon_1 + 3\varepsilon_2) / 4$ (for j = 1,...,4)

